

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DDI ICATIONINO	FILDIC DATE	FIRST MANGE DISTENTED	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,107	09/17/2003	Joseph HengTung Lau	STL11263	4741
7:	590 09/28/2005		EXAM	INER
	Derek J. Berger	RENNER, CRAIG A		
Seagate Techno	ology LLC		<u></u>	
Intellectual Property - COL2LGL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
389 Disc Drive			2652	
Longmont, CO 80503		DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,107	LAU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Craig A. Renner	2652
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n .	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	-	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	·	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the app	lication	
4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are v		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vicial awit from consideration.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objectio		· ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of format 10-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	Application No
Copies of the certified copies of t	he priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.
•		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	.948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTG	D/SB/08) 5) U Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050925

Application/Control Number: 10/664,107

Art Unit: 2652

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-11 and 17, drawn to an "actuator assembly", classified in class 360, subclass 265.7.

Page 2

- II. Claims 12-15, drawn to a "method of making an actuator assembly", classified in class 29, subclass 603.03.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of groups II and I are related as process of making and product made. respectively. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as a process not including "laser welding" and/or "directing a laser from the first direction", for instance. Although the product claims call for "laser welding" in line 2 of claim 7, for instance, note that "[d]etermination of patentability in 'product-by-process' claims is based on product itself, even though such claims are limited and defined by process [i.e., "laser welding", for instance], and thus product in such claim is unpatentable if it is the same as, or obvious form, product of prior art, even if prior product was made by a different process", In re Thorpe, et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985). Furthermore, note that a "[p]roduct-byprocess claim, although reciting subject matter of claim in terms of how it is made [i.e., "laser welding", for instance], is still product claim; it is patentability of product claimed

and not recited process steps that must be established, in spite of fact that claim may recite only process limitations", In re Hirao and Sato, 190 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1976).

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to David K. Lucente on 25 September 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2652

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Craig A. Renner Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

CAR